

# Opaque allomorph selection in Japanese and Harmonic Serialism:

## A reply to Kurisu (2012)\*

Erin Hall, University of Toronto

Peter Jurgec, University of Toronto

Shigeto Kawahara, Keio University

### 1 Introduction

Cross-linguistically, medial consonant clusters simplify by deleting or assimilating the first consonant  $/VpkV/ \rightarrow [VkV] \sim [VkkV]$ , never the second  $*[VpV] \sim *[VppV]$  (Steriade 2001). Wilson (2000, 2001) demonstrates that this generalization holds in a number of different languages including Basque, Carib, Tunica, Diola-Fogny, and West Greenlandic. Parallel OT fails to capture this asymmetry, as the output consonant appears in the onset regardless of its position in the input. McCarthy (2007, 2008) proposes a solution to this problem within Harmonic Serialism (HS), by postulating that deletion of the onset—i.e. the second consonant—involves a step which is not harmonically improving. The prediction that onset consonants in clusters never delete has been recognized as one of the crucial arguments for HS compared to parallel OT.

Kurisu (2012) challenges this generalization by bringing forth data from Japanese where onsets, not codas, appear to be deleted, presenting a problem for a two-step analysis in HS (§ 2). This squib takes a second look at the Japanese data by considering the full verbal paradigm (§ 3). The evidence suggests that the Japanese verbal paradigm pattern involves allomorph selection, not pure phonological deletion. Next, we show that allomorph selection opaquely interacts with another process, w-deletion, which is phonological. HS can model this opaque interaction (§ 4). In contrast, parallel OT cannot account for counterbleeding opacity at all (§ 5). Thus, Japanese does not challenge the generalization that onsets never delete in consonant cluster simplification, but in fact provides further support

for HS.

## 2 Japanese verb suffixation and deletion

Japanese verb suffixes sometimes surface with an initial coronal consonant (1). The generalization is that vowel-final stems are followed by a coronal in the suffix, whereas consonant-final stems are followed by vowel-initial suffixes.

(1) Japanese verbs (Kurisu 2012:311)

	Suffix	/tob/ ‘fly’	/ne/ ‘sleep’
Infinitive	/-ru/	tob-u	ne-ru
Subjunctive	/-reba/	tob-eba	ne-reba
Causative	/-sase/	tob-ase	ne-sase
Volitional	/-joo/	tob-oo	ne-joo

Kurisu (2012) argues that underlying suffix-initial coronals are deleted when preceded by another consonant.<sup>1</sup> This deletion occurs because of the phonotactic restriction of Japanese: codas cannot have their own place specification. In OT, coronal deletion is driven by CODACOND(ITION) (Itô 1986/1988, 1989; Itô and Mester 1998, 2003; Goldsmith 1990). Furthermore, root segments are more faithful than suffix segments ( $MAX_{Root} \gg MAX_{Affix}$ ; McCarthy and Prince 1995; Beckman 1998). In parallel OT, CODACOND can be satisfied by deletion of the second consonant, which would have been the onset in the output (2).

(2) Kurisu’s parallel OT analysis

/tob-ru/	CODACOND	$MAX_{Root}$	$MAX_{Affix}$
a. <del>tob</del> to.bu			*
b. to.ru		*!	
c. tob.ru	*!		

As Kurisu (2012) points out, this analysis cannot be implemented in HS. HS is a variant of OT that combines constraint ranking with derivations (McCarthy 2010a,b, 2016). Gen in HS generates only candidates that differ from the input by a single operation. The winning

candidate is then fed back to Gen as an input for another round of evaluation. This loop is repeated until the fully faithful parse of the latest input wins.

Deletion is considered to be a two-step process in HS: place features are removed first, followed by segment deletion (McCarthy 2007, 2008). Thus at step 1, no segment can be entirely deleted, only debuccalized (3). The resulting segment is a consonant without a place feature, henceforth marked “H”. The problem for the HS analysis is that the placeless onset candidate (a) should win at this step, but it does not (‘⊖’ marks an intended winner, which does not win given the constraint ranking). In fact, candidate (a) is harmonically bounded by both the placeless coda candidate (b), which wins in this case, and the faithful candidate (c).

(3) Step 1: Placeless onset is harmonically bounded

/tob-ru/	CODACOND	MAX <sub>Root</sub>	MAX <sub>Affix</sub>	HAVEPLACE	MAX(PLACE)
a. ⊖ tob.Hu	*!			*	*
b. toH.ru				*	*
c. tob.ru	*!				

Kurisu (2012) thus concludes that the Japanese challenge can only be resolved if consonant deletion is a possible single-step operation, *contra* McCarthy (2007, 2008) who posits a principled restriction on onset deletion in consonant clusters. However, if deletion is a possible single-step operation, then HS cannot explain the coda/onset asymmetry, which holds across many languages (Wilson 2001; Steriade 2001). We now reexamine this problem and will conclude that the data challenge neither the descriptive generalization nor HS.

### 3 Additional data support allomorph selection

Kurisu (2012) considers two alternatives, and eventually rejects both. One of these is an analysis based on allomorph selection, which we argue is the correct analysis. Unlike con-

sonant deletion, allomorph selection can be done in a single step, as shown in (4).<sup>2</sup> If allomorphs are both listed as underlying, then choosing either of the allomorphs satisfies faithfulness constraints (Itô and Mester 2004, 2006; Yip 2004; McCarthy 2007; Mascaró 2007), and as a result, the allomorph is determined by top-ranked CODA COND.

(4) Allomorph selection analysis (Kurusu 2012:318)

/tob- {ru, u} /	CODA COND	MAX <sub>Root</sub>	MAX <sub>Affix</sub>
a. $\text{t}^{\text{h}}$ to.bu			
b. tob.ru	*!		

Note that in this analysis, MAX<sub>Root</sub> plays no role, unlike Kurisu’s analysis in (2). However, this is not to say that root-specific faithfulness constraints in general have no effect in HS. Instead the claim is that MAX<sub>Root</sub> cannot prevent coda debuccalization and its ultimate deletion, as in (3), which was previously pointed out by McCarthy (2007).<sup>3</sup> This generalization does not extend to other processes. For instance, a top-ranked IDENT<sub>Root</sub> would favor progressive root-to-affix assimilation over the reverse. Root-to-affix consonant assimilation is widely attested (Wilson 2001:174), including a case of total consonant assimilation in Ibibio (Beckman 1997:202–204; Akinlabi and Urua 2002). Our point here is simply that MAX<sub>Root</sub> is not relevant in determining which consonant deletes in cluster resolution patterns in HS.

Kurusu’s main argument against allomorph selection is that the allomorphs are phonetically similar, differing only in the presence or absence of the initial coronal. To capture the similarity between these two shapes of the suffixes he posits that coronals must be underlying. This assumes that lexical representations are chosen to maximize phonological predictability, but this argument does not always hold, as in the well-known case of Maori passive (Hale 1973).<sup>4</sup> One alternative reason why allomorphs are similar to each other now could be that they *were* the same morpheme historically. Another possible explanation is

that there is synchronic pressure to require allomorphs to be phonologically similar, by way of violable constraints, as in fact proposed by Itô and Mester (2004) and Sano (2015) for Japanese verbal paradigms. In any case, the similarity of allomorphs need not be attributed to shared underlying representation.

We now provide several kinds of evidence from Japanese verbal paradigms to support the allomorph selection analysis over deletion. (See Vance 1987:§12 for a comprehensive description of Japanese verb morphology.) First, not all suffix-initial coronals delete. For example, the past tense suffix /ta/ is never realized as [a] (5). Instead, consonant clusters with [t] of this suffix are resolved by different repairs: coda nasalization (5-a), place assimilation (b), gemination (c), vowel epenthesis (d-e)—but never onset deletion. Continuative /te/ behaves the same way.

(5) No coronal deletion of the past tense /ta/

a.	tob + ta	→	tonda	‘fied’	Coda nasalization
b.	kam + ta	→	kanda	‘bit’	Nasal place assimilation
c.	kaw + ta	→	katta	‘bought’	Gemination
d.	kar + ta	→	karita	‘borrowed’	Vowel epenthesis
e.	kas + ta	→	kajita	‘rented’	Vowel epenthesis

Second, verbal compounds preserve coronals and exhibit epenthesis (6). See Poser (1984) and Nishiyama (2016) for arguments that this vowel is epenthetic. Compare a minimal pair /tob+sase/ → [tob-ase] ‘cause to fly’ (1) and /tob+sonjiru/ → [tob-i-sonjiru] ‘fail to fly’. Both examples involve a /bs/ cluster, and the purely phonological analysis predicts deletion in both cases.

(6) No coronal deletion in Japanese compounds

tob+dasu	→	tob-i-dasu	‘to rush out’
tob+deru	→	tob-i-deru	‘to stick out’
tob+sonjiru	→	tob-i-sonjiru	‘fail to fly’

Thus, even though some of the coronal suffixes appear to exhibit phonological deletion,

upon closer examination this generalization is based only on a subset of the Japanese verbal paradigm patterns. The combined data provide evidence that the different realizations of verbal suffixes are listed allomorphs, instead of governed by a regular coronal deletion process. The alternative would be to treat the cases that Kurisu (2012) discusses in (1) as phonological, and the other patterns we raise in (5)-(6) as allomorph selection. However, there is no independent evidence for making this distinction.

#### 4 Allomorph selection is opaque

We now move to another type of evidence. The Japanese coronal alternations interact with another process: w-deletion. The interaction is opaque, and hence cannot be dealt with in parallel OT and instead supports an HS analysis. In Japanese, [w] deletes when followed by a non-low vowel, shown as [ɰ] in (7).<sup>5</sup>

(7) W-deletion (Vance 1987; Gibson 2008; Nevins 2011; Tomohiro Yokoyama, p.c.)

	/tob/ ‘fly’	/ne/ ‘sleep’	/iw/ ‘say’	/karakaw/ ‘mock’	/maw/ ‘dance’	/ow/ ‘chase’	
Infinitive	/-(r)u/	tob-u	ne-ru	iɰ-u	karakaw-u	maw-u	ow-u
Subjunctive	/-(r)eba/	tob-eba	ne-reba	iɰ-eba	karakaw-eba	maw-eba	ow-eba
Volitional	/-(j)oo/	tob-oo	ne-joo	iɰ-oo	karakaw-oo	maw-oo	ow-oo
Causative	/-(s)ase/	tob-ase	ne-sase	iw-ase	karakaw-ase	maw-ase	ow-ase

Let us suppose that both w-deletion and coronal deletion satisfy CODACOND. For instance, the input /ow-ru/ ‘to chase’ could surface as \*[o.wu] or \*[o.ru]. The former is not well-formed because w-deletion failed to apply before a non-low vowel, while the latter would be the expected output. However, the attested output is [o.u], instead of \*[o.ru] with an onset consonant. Why should both [w] and [r] be deleted, leaving an onsetless syllable? An explanation of this puzzle is that w-deletion applies only after allomorph selection. If so, the suffix should be selected first, yielding the intermediate form [ow-u], at which point w-deletion applies, resulting in the correct surface form [o-u].

This interaction can be characterized as allomorph selection applying at the interme-

mediate stages of the derivation which is attested cross-linguistically (Gibson 2008; Wolf 2008:§2&3; Nevins 2011:2373–2374). One key advantage of HS over parallel OT is that it can capture phonological generalizations at intermediate steps.<sup>6</sup>

To model the Japanese phonotactic restrictions on *w*+vowel sequences, we propose a markedness constraint *\*w*[–low] ( $\equiv$  *w* must not be followed by a [–low] vowel). This constraint applies to Japanese phonology in general, only having exceptions in some loanwords (e.g. [witto] ‘wit’). The remaining constraints have been used earlier in the squib.

As we have seen above, the allomorph is selected at a step before *w*-deletion. The selection of the allomorph depends on whether the root ends with a licit coda, which is captured by high ranked CODA COND. When the root ends with a [w], the allomorph without a coronal is selected at the first step (8). Note that when the root ends on an underlying vowel, *-ru* will be selected because of low ranked ONSET, as in [ki-ru] ‘cut’.

(8) Step 1: Allomorph selection

/iw- $\{ru, u\}$ /	CODA COND	<i>*w</i> [–low]	HAVE PLACE	MAX(PLACE)	MAX	ONSET
a. $\text{[i.wu]}$		*				
b. $\text{[iw.ru]}$	*!					

At step 2, the phonotactic constraint *\*w*[–low] drives *w*-debuccalization (9).<sup>7</sup>

(9) Step 2: Debuccalization

i.wu	CODA COND	<i>*w</i> [–low]	HAVE PLACE	MAX(PLACE)	MAX	ONSET
a. $\text{[i.Hu]}$			*	*		
b. $\text{[i.wu]}$		*!				

At step 3, the placeless onset segment is deleted (10). The derivation converges at step 4.

(10) Step 3: *w*-deletion

i.Hu	CODACOND	*w[-low]	HAVEPLACE	MAX(PLACE)	MAX	ONSET
a. $\text{[i.u]}$					*	*
b. i.Hu			*!			

Opaque allomorph selection is also found in languages other than Japanese, including Ukrainian (Darden 1979; Gibson 2008), Polish (Rubach 2003; Sanders 2003; Łubowicz 2012), German (Kiparsky 1994; Aronoff 1976), Spanish (Aranovich et al. 2005; Aranovich and Orgun 2006), Sanskrit (Kiparsky 1997), Turkish (Lewis 1967; Aranovich et al. 2005; Paster 2006), and Babanki (Akumbu 2015). Thus, we submit that the Japanese case that we discussed here is not a cross-linguistically isolated pattern.

We have demonstrated that once the Japanese data are considered in full detail, the phonological deletion analysis has to be rejected, but the allomorph selection alternative remains viable, and can be successfully captured in HS. Kurisu (2012:311–312) mentions three other similar cases—Korean, Turkish, and Tigrinya—which all involve alternations of individual suffixes rather than a general pattern. It is thus likely that these languages also exhibit allomorph selection rather than deletion; we leave a detailed examination of these cases for future research.

## 5 Alternatives

The Japanese opaque pattern can be modelled in HS as allomorph selection. The alternative analyses in HS and any analyses in parallel OT fail.

First, the deletion analysis in HS fails, as seen in (3). At step 1, the candidate with a debuccalized coda consonant [iH.ru] would win, ultimately leading to the incorrect winner \*[i.ru]. Second, a parallel OT analysis is also unsuccessful, either as deletion (11-a) or allomorphy (b), because the transparent candidate \*[i.ru] (ii) harmonically bounds the attested opaque output [i.u] (i). Note also that (11-a) and (b) use two additional constraints (\*w[-low] and ONSET), but otherwise retain the same set of constraints as Kurisu (2012).

(11) Parallel OT analysis fails

a. Coronal deletion

/iw-ru/	CODACOND	*w[-low]	MAX <sub>Root</sub>	MAX <sub>Affix</sub>	ONSET
i. ☹ i.u			*	*!	*!
ii. ☹ i.ru			*		
iii. i.wu		*!		*	
iv. iw.ru	*!				

b. Allomorph selection

/iw-{ru, u}/	CODACOND	*w[-low]	MAX <sub>Root</sub>	MAX <sub>Affix</sub>	ONSET
i. ☹ i.u			*		*!
ii. ☹ i.ru			*		
iii. i.wu		*!			
iv. iw.ru	*!				

Finally, the parallel OT analysis fails even if we consider other types of faithfulness-based approaches. One such example is a constraint like MAX-C<sub>Presonorant</sub>. This constraint refers to a presonorant position, but any remaining consonant (C<sub>1</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>) is in presonorant position in the output. Thus, the constraint must refer to an *input* position, which is inconsistent with the positional faithfulness template (Beckman 1998). Apart from that, the challenges of this alternative are in fact discussed in detail by Wilson (2001:180–184). One of these problems is that MAX-C<sub>Presonorant</sub> cannot deal with a case in which a consonant cluster is preceded by vowel syncope (i.e., C<sub>1</sub>VC<sub>2</sub> → C<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub> → C<sub>2</sub>). Even in such cases, C<sub>1</sub> invariably deletes, as in Carib and Tunica. MAX-C<sub>Presonorant</sub> cannot account for this observation.

## 6 Conclusions

Kurusu (2012) argues that Japanese has onset deletion that cannot be analyzed as a two-step process in Harmonic Serialism. This challenges an otherwise robust cross-linguistic gener-

alization that the second consonant never deletes in cluster simplification. In this squib, we have shown that Japanese does not involve onset deletion but rather allomorph selection. This conclusion is corroborated by reexamination of the data and opaque interactions with a phonological pattern.

## References

- Akinlabi, Akinbiyi, and Eno E. Urua. 2002. Foot structure in the Ibibio verb. *Journal of African Languages and Linguistics* 23:119–160.
- Akumbu, Pius W. 2015. Single URs vs. allomorphy: The case of Babanki coda consonant deletion. In *UC Berkeley Phonology Lab annual report*, ed. by Jevon Heath, 191–209. Berkeley: University of California, Berkeley.
- Aranovich, Raúl, Sharon Inkelas, Orhan Orgun, and Ronald Sprouse. 2005. Opacity in phonologically conditioned suppletion. Paper presented at 13th Manchester Phonology Meeting.
- Aranovich, Raúl, and Orhan Orgun. 2006. Opacity in *-ez/-eza* suffixation. In *Selected proceedings of the 8th Hispanic Linguistics Colloquium*, ed. by Timothy L. Face and Carol A. Klee, 116–122. Somerville, MA: Cascadilla Press.
- Aronoff, Mark. 1976. *Word formation in Generative Grammar*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Beckman, Jill N. 1997. Positional faithfulness, positional neutralization and Shona vowel harmony. *Phonology* 14:1–46.
- Beckman, Jill N. 1998. Positional Faithfulness. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 234, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.
- Darden, Bill. 1979. On the nature of morphophonemic rules. In *Papers from the Fifteenth Regional Meeting, Chicago Linguistic Society*, ed. by Paul R. Clyne, William F. Hanks, and Carol L. Hofbauer, 79–89. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.

- Gibson, Masayuki. 2008. Opaque allomorph selection: The need for intermediate forms. Paper presented at the 82th Annual Meeting of the Linguistic Society of America (Chicago, IL).
- Goldsmith, John A. 1990. *Autosegmental and metrical phonology*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hale, Kenneth. 1973. Deep-surface canonical disparities in relation to analysis and change: an Australian example. In *Diachronic, areal, and typological linguistics (Current trends in linguistics 11)*, ed. by Thomas A. Sebeok, 401–458. The Hague: Mouton.
- Itô, Junko. 1986/1988. *Syllable theory in prosodic phonology*. New York: Garland.
- Itô, Junko. 1989. A prosodic theory of epenthesis. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 7:217–259.
- Itô, Junko, and Armin Mester. 1998. Markedness and word structure: OCP effects in Japanese. Ms. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 255, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.
- Itô, Junko, and Armin Mester. 2003. On the sources of opacity in OT: coda processes in German. In *The syllable in Optimality Theory*, ed. by Caroline Féry and Ruben van de Vijver, 271–303. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Itô, Junko, and Armin Mester. 2004. Morphological contrast and merger: ranuki in Japanese. *Journal of Japanese Linguistics* 20:1–18.
- Itô, Junko, and Armin Mester. 2006. Indulgentia parentum filiōrum perniciēs: Lexical allomorphy in Latin and Japanese. In *Wondering at the natural fecundity of things: Essays in honor of Alan Prince*, 185–194. Santa Cruz, CA: Linguistics Research Center.

- Jesney, Karen. 2011. Positional faithfulness, non-locality, and the Harmonic Serialism solution. In *Proceedings of NELS 39*, ed. by Susi Lima, Kevin Mullin, and Brian Smith, 429–440. Amherst: GLSA, University of Massachusetts.
- Kimper, Wendell. 2011. Locality and globality in phonological variation. *Natural Language and Linguistic Theory* 29:423–465.
- Kiparsky, Paul. 1994. Allomorphy or morphophonology? In *Trubetzkoy's Orphan: Proceedings of the Montréal Roundtable "Morphology: Contemporary responses"*, ed. by Rajendra Singh and Richard Desroches, 13–31. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Kiparsky, Paul. 1997. LP and OT. Course handout from LSA Summer Institute, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY.
- Kurisu, Kazutaka. 2012. Fell-swoop onset deletion. *Linguistic Inquiry* 43:309–321.
- Lewis, G. L. 1967. *Turkish grammar*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Łubowicz, Anna. 2012. *The phonology of contrast*. London: Equinox.
- Mascaró, Joan. 2007. External allomorphy and lexical representation. *Linguistic Inquiry* 38:715–735.
- McCarthy, John J. 2007. Slouching towards optimality: Coda reduction in OT-CC. *Phonological Studies (Journal of the Phonological Society of Japan)* 7:89–104.
- McCarthy, John J. 2008. The gradual path to cluster simplification. *Phonology* 25:271–319.
- McCarthy, John J. 2010a. Harmonic Serialism supplement to *Doing Optimality Theory*. Ms. University of Massachusetts Amherst. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 1099, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.

- McCarthy, John J. 2010b. An introduction to Harmonic Serialism. *Language and Linguistics Compass* 10:1010–1018.
- McCarthy, John J. 2016. The theory and practice of Harmonic Serialism. In *Harmonic Grammar and Harmonic Serialism*, ed. by John J. McCarthy and Joe Pater. London: Equinox.
- McCarthy, John J., and Alan Prince. 1995. Faithfulness and reduplicative identity. In *University of Massachusetts occasional papers in linguistics 18: Papers in Optimality Theory*, ed. by Jill N. Beckman, Laura Walsh, and Suzanne Urbanczyk, 249–384. Amherst: GLSA, University of Massachusetts. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 60, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.
- Nevins, Andrew. 2011. Phonologically conditioned allomorph selection. In *The Blackwell companion to phonology*, ed. by Marc van Oostendorp, Colin J. Ewen, Elizabeth Hume, and Keren D. Rice, 2357–2382. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Nishiyama, Kunio. 2016. The theoretical status of *ren'yoo* (stem) in Japanese verbal morphology. *Morphology* 26:65–90.
- Paster, Mary. 2006. Phonological conditions on affixation. Doctoral Dissertation, University of California Berkeley.
- Poser, William J. 1984. The phonetics and phonology of tone and intonation in Japanese. Doctoral Dissertation, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge.
- Pruitt, Kathryn. 2010. Serialism and locality in constraint-based metrical parsing. *Phonology* 27:481–526.
- Pruitt, Kathryn. 2012. Stress in Harmonic Serialism. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

- Rubach, Jerzy. 2003. Polish palatalization in derivational optimality theory. *Lingua* 113:197–237.
- Sanders, Nathan. 2003. Opacity and sound change in the Polish lexicon. Doctoral Dissertation, University of California, Santa Cruz. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 603, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.
- Sano, Shin-ichiro. 2015. Optimization of the verbal inflectional paradigm by the cyclic application of morphophonological processes: Evidence from potential forms in Japanese. *Open Linguistics* 1:580–595.
- Steriade, Donca. 2001. Directional asymmetries in place assimilation: a perceptual account. In *The role of speech perception in phonology*, ed. by Elizabeth V. Hume and Keith Johnson, 219–250. New York: Academic Press.
- Torres-Tamarit, Francesc, and Peter Jurgec. 2015. Lapsed derivations: Ternary stress in Harmonic Serialism. *Linguistic Inquiry* 46:376–387.
- Vance, Timothy J. 1987. *An introduction to Japanese phonology*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Wilson, Colin. 2000. Targeted constraints: an approach to contextual neutralization in Optimality Theory. Doctoral Dissertation, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD.
- Wilson, Colin. 2001. Consonant cluster neutralization and targeted constraints. *Phonology* 18:147–197.
- Wolf, Matthew Adam. 2008. Optimal Interleaving: Serial phonology-morphology interaction in a constraint-based model. Doctoral Dissertation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Available on Rutgers Optimality Archive, ROA 996, <http://roa.rutgers.edu>.

Yip, Moira. 2004. Phonological markedness and allomorph selection in Zahao. *Language and Linguistics* 5:969–1001.

## Notes

\*We would like to thank the editors and reviewers for their comments and suggestions. The idea for this squib was born in the graduate seminar *LIN1223 Advanced Phonology III: Representations in Harmonic Serialism* at the University of Toronto in Fall 2014. This squib was partly supported by the Connaught Young Researcher Award to Peter Jurgec.

<sup>1</sup>McCarthy (2007) recognizes the Japanese case as a potential example, suggesting that the suffix-initial consonant could be epenthetic. However, the epenthesis analysis does not explain why different types of coronal consonants can occur suffix-initially, as in (1).

<sup>2</sup>For a two-step analysis of allomorph selection, see Wolf (2008). According to this alternative, Japanese roots are spelled out first, and their shape determines which suffix allomorph is selected. Our analysis is compatible with this view as well.

<sup>3</sup>Further, McCarthy (2007) remains silent about vowel deletion patterns given  $V_1V_2$  sequences, and we have nothing new to say about this issue other than acknowledging it as a key topic for future studies.

<sup>4</sup>In Maori, the the passive forms contain a consonant not present in the unaffixed forms. Since many consonants are possible in the passive, the most economical way to analyze these cases would be to posit consonants as part of the root. The challenge, however, is that no morpheme consistently ends on a consonant and that novel forms take the allomorph containing [t] (Hale 1973). Another case can be found in Babanki where /ŋ/ appears to be deleted. Under closer examination the alternation is better modeled as allomorph selection (Akumbu 2015).

<sup>5</sup>Note that this alternation is a case of deletion rather than epenthesis. For example, [w] in words like [maw-ase] ‘to cause to dance’ or [maw-anai] ‘not dance’ cannot be epenthetic. We could postulate that in verbal paradigms, [w] is inserted between two vowels. However, this epenthesis alternative fails to explain how vowel-final stems behave when followed by

vowel-initial suffixes. For instance, the negative form of the root /ne/ ‘to sleep’ is [nenai], instead of \*[newanai]. If [w] were epenthetic, rather than being a part of the stem as we posit, why would this form not arise? Another reason to assume that this /w/ is a part of the stem is because /w/ causes gemination in the past tense: /kaw+ta/ → [katta] ‘bought’ (5-c). Vowel-final stems do not undergo this gemination, [neta] ‘slept’. It is highly unlikely that [w] is inserted to be geminated.

<sup>6</sup>For instance, footing sometimes ignores subsequent syncope, which can only be captured by grammars that can assign footing before applying syncope, such as HS (McCarthy 2010b). Other advantages of HS include predictions about variation (Kimper 2011), positional faithfulness (Jesney 2011), and stress typology (Pruitt 2010, 2012; Torres-Tamarit and Jurgec 2015). One remaining question is whether other multi-level versions of Optimality Theory can account for the data in question. Since Kurisu (2012) focuses on the HS vs. Parallel OT debate, we do not go into detail about other multi-level models. We note, however, that only HS, not Stratal OT, can account for the onset/coda asymmetry discussed at the outset of the paper.

<sup>7</sup>Since HS literature posits that consonants delete in two steps (debuccalization and deletion), we follow that convention here. The debuccalized segment does not contain any place features, and thus cannot violate \*w[–low]. An alternative is that [w] in Japanese is already phonologically placeless (while the other glide [j] is specified as coronal), and then [w] can be deleted in one step.